

PHA Name : Housing Authority Of The County Of Santa Cruz

PHA Code : CA072

MTW Supplement for PHA Fiscal Year Beginning : (MM/DD/YYYY): 7/1/2023

PHA Program Type: Combined

MTW Cohort Number: Asset Building

MTW Supplement Submission Type: Amendment to the Annual Submission

B. MTW Supplement Narrative.

The Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz (HACSC) is pleased to submit this Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Moving to Work (MTW) Supplement component of the Annual PHA Plan. HUD designated HACSC as an MTW Agency in September 2022, through the MTW Asset Building Cohort, to test asset building initiatives to encourage growth of savings accounts and/or aim to build credit for assisted households. HACSC's MTW Plan and Application selected the HUD defined "Opt-Out Savings Account" as the initial MTW asset building activity. HACSC looks forward to working with HUD and the evaluation team to develop and implement asset building activities and to better understand perspectives and experiences of assisted households participating in the "Opt-Out Savings Account" initiative through the program evaluation process. Additionally, HACSC will actively participate in the "Community of Practice" with HUD, the evaluation team, and other MTW agencies in the Asset Building Cohort to further develop and implement best practices related to asset building.

This supplement identifies the MTW waivers and activities that HACSC will implement to achieve the three MTW statutory objectives which are outlined in more detail below in the sections of Economic Mobility, Cost Effectiveness, and Housing Choice.

Economic Mobility: Implementation of the Opt-Out Savings Account initiative will be the focal point of HACSC initial economic mobility efforts. HACSC plans to use the Opt-Out Savings Account program as the baseline for future economic mobility programs. This initiative will assist HACSC to build and expand upon a network of partnerships with service providers, financial service institutions, and other community-based organizations to promote economic mobility. Other activities to support economic mobility include administrative changes to the Housing Choice Voucher program such as alternative income inclusions / exclusions, alternative reexamination schedule, and extension of zero HAP participation from 180 to 360 days. HACSC anticipates these initiatives will reduce or eliminate regressive aspects of the program and will encourage assisted households to seek employment opportunities, increased wages, and build assets.

Cost Effectiveness: HACSC will leverage MTW authority to implement a range of waivers to achieve administrative efficiencies and cost effectiveness. These waivers will enable HACSC to provide more meaningful service to enhance customer service, and to reduce administrative burden for participants and property owners. Program participants will benefit from alternative income inclusions / exclusions, alternative reexamination schedule, and self-certification of assets. HACSC experience and expertise to conduct certain HQS functions and PBV processes in which third-party assistance is required will eliminate administrative time spent coordinating contractors, and support tenants to secure housing.

Housing Choice: HACSC's approach to improving housing choice includes providing housing stability to program participants that are already housed through waivers such as alternative income inclusions / exclusions, alternative reexamination schedule, and Moving On policies to align tenant rent and utility payments between partner agencies. The strategy to promote housing choice and to increase the supply of affordable housing will be achieved by increasing the PBV program cap and PBV project cap. The ability to expand the PBV program will provide new housing opportunities to program participants and will support the development of new units within our community. [Additionally, housing choice will be expanded through implementation of an Agency Specific Waiver to adopt an alternative reasonable cost formula to include Hard Construction Cost \(HCD\) and Total Development Cost \(TDC\) for development and construction activities under the local non-traditional activities.](#)

HACSC is excited to have the opportunity to participate in the Asset Building Cohort and to implement MTW waivers that will achieve HUD's statutory objectives. These activities align with the agency mission and our long-term vision for MTW.

Under the MTW Operations Notice, the Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz is authorized to flexibly and interchangeably utilize Public Housing Operating Fund, Capital Fund Program and certain Housing Assistance Payments funds for any purpose authorized under Sections 8 and 9 of the US Housing Act of 1937 and for local, non-traditional activities. The agency may utilize this flexibility over the fiscal year to support activities described in the Annual Plan in accordance with the requirements of the Operations Notice.

[HACSC intends, per Federal Register Notice FR-6284-N-01, to extend the term of FUP-Youth and FYI voucher assistance for up to an additional 24 months for youth participating in the HACSC Family Self-Sufficiency \(FSS\) program or who otherwise meet the criteria for extension as stipulated in FR-6284-N-01.](#)

C. The policies that the MTW agency is using or has used (currently implement, plan to implement in the submission year, plan to discontinue, previously discontinued).

1. Tenant Rent Policies	
a. Tiered Rent (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
b. Tiered Rent (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
c. Stepped Rent (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
d. Stepped Rent (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
e. Minimum Rent (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
f. Minimum Rent (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
g. Total Tenant Payment as a Percentage of Gross Income (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
h. Total Tenant Payment as a Percentage of Gross Income (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
i. Alternative Utility Allowance (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
j. Alternative Utility Allowance (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
k. Fixed Rents (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
l. Fixed Subsidy (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
m. Utility Reimbursements (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
n. Utility Reimbursements (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
o. Initial Rent Burden (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
p. Imputed Income (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
q. Imputed Income (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
r. Elimination of Deduction(s) (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
s. Elimination of Deduction(s) (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
t. Standard Deductions (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
u. Standard Deductions (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
v. Alternative Income Inclusions/Exclusions (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
w. Alternative Income Inclusions/Exclusions (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
2. Payment Standards and Rent Reasonableness	
b. Payment Standards- Fair Market Rents (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
c. Rent Reasonableness – Process (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
d. Rent Reasonableness – Third-Party Requirement (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
3. Reexaminations	
a. Alternative Reexamination Schedule for Households (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
b. Alternative Reexamination Schedule for Households (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
c. Self-Certification of Assets (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
d. Self-Certification of Assets (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
4. Landlord Leasing Incentives	
a. Vacancy Loss (HCV-Tenant-based Assistance)	Not Currently Implemented
b. Damage Claims (HCV-Tenant-based Assistance)	Not Currently Implemented
c. Other Landlord Incentives (HCV- Tenant-based Assistance)	Not Currently Implemented
5. Housing Quality Standards (HQS)	
a. Pre-Qualifying Unit Inspections (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
b. Reasonable Penalty Payments for Landlords (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
c. Third-Party Requirement (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
d. Alternative Inspection Schedule (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
6. Short-Term Assistance	
a. Short-Term Assistance (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
b. Short-Term Assistance (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
7. Term-Limited Assistance	
a. Term-Limited Assistance (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
b. Term-Limited Assistance (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
8. Increase Elderly Age (PH & HCV)	
Increase Elderly Age (PH & HCV)	Not Currently Implemented

9. Project-Based Voucher Program Flexibilities	
a. Increase PBV Program Cap (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
b. Increase PBV Project Cap (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
c. Elimination of PBV Selection Process for PHA-owned Projects Without Improvement, Development, or Replacement (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
d. Alternative PBV Selection Process (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
e. Alternative PBV Unit Types (Shared Housing and Manufactured Housing) (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
f. Increase PBV HAP Contract Length (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
g. Increase PBV Rent to Owner (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
h. Limit Portability for PBV Units (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
10. Family Self-Sufficiency Program with MTW Flexibility	
a.PH Waive Operating a Required FSS Program (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
a.HCV Waive Operating a Required FSS Program (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
b.PH Alternative Structure for Establishing Program Coordinating Committee (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
b. HCV Alternative Structure for Establishing Program Coordinating Committee (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
c.PH Alternative Family Selection Procedures (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
c.HCV Alternative Family Selection Procedures (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
d.PH Modify or Eliminate the Contract of Participation (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
d.HCV Modify or Eliminate the Contract of Participation (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
e.PH Policies for Addressing Increases in Family Income (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
e.HCV Policies for Addressing Increases in Family Income (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
11. MTW Self-Sufficiency Program	
a.PH Alternative Family Selection Procedures (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
a.HCV Alternative Family Selection Procedures (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
b.PH Policies for Addressing Increases in Family Income (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
b.HCV Policies for Addressing Increases in Family Income (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
12. Work Requirement	
a. Work Requirement (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
b. Work Requirement (HCV)	Not Currently Implemented
13. Use of Public Housing as an Incentive for Economic Progress (PH)	
Use of Public Housing as an Incentive for Economic Progress (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
14. Moving on Policy	
a. Waive Initial HQS Inspection Requirement (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
b.PH Allow Income Calculations from Partner Agencies (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
b.HCV Allow Income Calculations from Partner Agencies (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
c.PH Aligning Tenant Rents and Utility Payments Between Partner Agencies (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
c.HCV Aligning Tenant Rents and Utility Payments Between Partner Agencies (HCV)	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year
15. Acquisition without Prior HUD Approval (PH)	
Acquisition without Prior HUD Approval (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
16. Deconcentration of Poverty in Public Housing Policy (PH)	
Deconcentration of Poverty in Public Housing Policy (PH)	Not Currently Implemented
17. Local, Non-Traditional Activities	
a. Rental Subsidy Programs	Not Currently Implemented
b. Service Provision	Not Currently Implemented
c. Housing Development Programs	Plan to Implement in the Submission Year

C. MTW Activities Plan that Housing Authority Of The County Of Santa Cruz Plans to Implement in the Submission Year or Is Currently Implementing

1.w. - Alternative Income Inclusions/Exclusions (HCV)
<p>Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative Exclude income from asset-building activities. This change benefits clients, increasing self-sufficiency and housing choice as well as saving tenant time. Additionally, it reduces staff time. Decreased staff paperwork increases operational efficiency and cost-effectiveness.</p>
<p>Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve? Cost effectiveness; Self-sufficiency; Housing choice</p>
<p>What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today. Increased expenditures</p>
<p>Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households? The MTW activity applies to all assisted households</p>
<p>Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation. N/A</p>
<p>Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described? Yes</p>
<p>What is the status of the Safe Harbor Waiver request? The waiver request is being submitted for review with this submission of the MTW Supplement (see Section D).</p>
<p>What inclusions or exclusions will be eliminated, modified, or added? Income attributable to the asset-building activities our PHA implements as part of the MtW Asset Building Cohort will be excluded.</p>

2.b. - Payment Standards- Fair Market Rents (HCV)
<p>Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative The HACSC shall implement a payment standard between 80% and 120% of Fair Market Rents.</p>
<p>Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve? Housing choice</p>
<p>What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today. Neutral (no cost implications)</p>

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies to all assisted households

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does this MTW activity require a hardship policy?

Yes

This document is attached.

Does the hardship policy apply to more than this MTW activity?

No

Has the MTW agency modified the hardship policy since the last submission of the MTW Supplement?

No

How many hardship requests have been received associated with this activity in the past year?

No hardship were requested in the most recent fiscal year.

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

Does the MTW activity require an impact analysis?

Yes

This document is attached.

Does the impact analysis apply to more than this MTW activity?

No

Please explain the payment standards by FMR:

Currently, PHAs are required to establish payment standards between 90% - 120% Fair Market Rents. The proposed waiver would provide HACSC with greater flexibility to establish payment standards that reflect market rates, resulting in greater housing choice for program families.

2.d. - Rent Reasonableness – Third-Party Requirement (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

HACSC will no longer require a third party to conduct rent reasonableness tests at properties that HACSC owns, manages, or controls. HACSC will follow the rent reasonableness process used for all other HCV properties and conduct the test using a database consisting of comparable units or properties. Requiring approval from a third party increases costs and creates potential delays in the availability of needed housing units. Conducting these rent reasonableness tests in-house will achieve greater cost-effectiveness in federal expenditures, improve administrative efficiencies, eliminate confusion for staff and participants, and improve the response time for conducting rent reasonableness analyses. The agency will meet all safe harbor requirements of the Operations Notice including (1) The agency shall establish and make

available a quality assurance method to ensure impartiality; (2) The agency shall make available the method used to determine that rents charged by owners to voucher participants are reasonable when compared to similar unassisted units in the market area; and (3) At the Department's request, the agency must obtain the services of a third-party.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Cost effectiveness; Housing choice

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Decreased expenditures

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies only to a subset or subsets of assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply only to new admissions, only to currently assisted households, or to both new admissions and currently assisted households?

New admissions and currently assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types?

The MTW activity applies to all family types

Does the MTW activity apply to all HCV tenant-based units and properties with project-based vouchers?

The MTW activity applies to specific tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers

Please describe which tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers participate in the MTW activity.

Applies only to units owned or controlled by the PHA.

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

Please explain or upload a description of the quality assurance method.

The HACSC shall develop and implement procedures for the conduct of regularly scheduled quality control of PHA-owned rent reasonableness assessments, including establishing the sampling size and frequency within the procedures and designating quality control roles and responsibilities.

No document is attached.

Please explain or upload a description of the rent reasonableness determination method.

Reasonable rent is currently determined using information produced by AffordableHousing.com, a national rent reasonableness system, via the AffordableHousing.Com database. The AffordableHousing.com database meets HUD regulatory requirements for rent comparisons based on comparable unassisted units, including information about each unit's location, size, type, age, quality/condition, utilities and amenities. HACSC may institute a change to which database

is used for Rent Reasonableness determinations but will always utilize a national rent reasonableness system that meets HUD regulatory requirements.

No document is attached.

3.b. - Alternative Reexamination Schedule for Households (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

Reducing the frequency of household certifications allows participants to keep more of their income as their income increases. It also reduces the burden on both participants and Housing Specialists in acquiring, submitting, and processing paperwork. This change gives tenants a longer time with stable rent to allow for better financial planning and housing security. Tenants will have a greater ability to save for and accomplish life goals such as pursuing education and rent independence or home ownership. The activity as proposed meets all of the applicable safe harbor requirements in the Operations Notice including (1) Reexaminations must occur at least every three years; (2) The agency must allow at least one interim adjustment per year at the request of the household, if the household gross income has decreased 10% or more; (3) Agency must implement an impact analysis; and (4) Agency must include a hardship policy.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Cost effectiveness; Self-sufficiency

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Increased expenditures

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies to all assisted households

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does this MTW activity require a hardship policy?

Yes

This document is attached.

Does the hardship policy apply to more than this MTW activity?

No

Has the MTW agency modified the hardship policy since the last submission of the MTW Supplement?

No

How many hardship requests have been received associated with this activity in the past year?

No hardship were requested in the most recent fiscal year.

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

Does the MTW activity require an impact analysis?

Yes

This document is attached.

Does the impact analysis apply to more than this MTW activity?

No

What is the recertification schedule?

Other

At least every three years for fixed-income families and at least every two years for all remaining families.

How many interim recertifications per year may a household request?

Please describe briefly how the MTW agency plans to address changes in family/household circumstances under the alternative reexamination schedule.

The HACSC will conduct reexaminations for fixed-income families at least every three years and will conduct reexaminations for all remaining (non-fixed-income families) at least every two years.

Between reexaminations, the HACSC will conduct interim adjustments to redetermine subsidy when the household experiences a qualifying event. Qualifying events will be described in the HCV Administrative Plan and will include decreases in income, increases in income (when requested by the household) changes in household composition, and changes to the contract rent. When interim adjustments are conducted, HACSC may apply payment standards and utility allowances in effect at the time of the effective date of the adjustment.

HACSC will reserve the right to conduct reexaminations more frequently either for administrative or for financial reasons.

3.d. - Self-Certification of Assets (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

At reexamination, the agency will allow the self-certification of assets up to \$50,000. Reduced documentation requirements will reduce staff time for processing, thereby increasing operational efficiency and cost effectiveness. Reducing documentation saves time for staff and the majority of tenants whose assets are below a level that makes a difference in qualifying for support.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Cost effectiveness

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Decreased expenditures

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies to all assisted households

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

Please state the dollar threshold for the self-certification of assets.

\$50,000.

5.c. - Third-Party Requirement (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

HACSC shall eliminate the requirement for third -party inspections of PHA-owned units, which will save staff time, increase operational efficiency, and increase cost effectiveness. Additionally, it may increase housing choice for tenants through a faster inspection process. With third-party inspections, delays are beyond HACSC's control. HACSC has certified HQS Inspectors on staff and plans to utilize them for PHA-owned unit inspections. This will increase efficiency and reduce staff time. This change will allow HACSC to operate more efficiently and strategically to serve its mission and focus on innovative programs and services to tenants.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Cost effectiveness; Housing choice

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Decreased expenditures

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies only to a subset or subsets of assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply only to new admissions, only to currently assisted households, or to both new admissions and currently assisted households?

New admissions and currently assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types?

The MTW activity applies to all family types

Does the MTW activity apply to all HCV tenant-based units and properties with project-based vouchers?

The MTW activity applies to specific tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers

Please describe which tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers participate in the MTW activity.

Applies only to units owned or controlled by the PHA.

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

Please explain or upload the description of the quality assurance method:

Following will explain the quality assurance method

"Please explain or upload" - Explanation provided herein in lieu of an upload: The HACSC shall develop and implement procedures for the conduct of regularly scheduled quality control of PHA-owned unit inspections, including establishing the sampling size and frequency within the procedures and designating quality control inspector roles and responsibilities.

No document is attached.

9.a. - Increase PBV Program Cap (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

The HACSC proposes to project-base the lower of fifty percent (50%) of the total authorized units or fifty percent (50%) of annual budget authority of its vouchers to attach the funding to specific affordable units through the Project Based Voucher program.

The Project-Based Voucher (PBV) program allows a Housing Authority to use up to twenty percent (20%) percent of its vouchers to attach the funding to specific affordable units. PHAs may project base an additional ten percent (10%) of vouchers that are excepted from this cap, for units designated for homeless, veterans, supportive services, or in a low poverty census tract.

Benefits to Development: Project basing gives developers a guarantee of a future source of stable income for a development, which can be integral to the financing package that makes constructing or rehabilitating affordable housing possible. In markets facing a housing shortage, this is one of the only tools housing authorities have to spur the construction of new, quality, affordable units. Many families with tenant-based vouchers struggle to find a unit in the private rental market due to an extreme scarcity of rental housing and property owner reluctance to participate in the voucher program. Scarcity of rental units leads to tenant-based vouchers often expiring before a household can secure a unit, and results in loss of voucher assistance. Committing vouchers to affordable properties through the PBV program ensures those units will be available exclusively to voucher households. Since the PBV program promotes construction of affordable housing in high opportunity low poverty neighborhoods, increasing the PBV cap allows more low-income households, often including children, to benefit from good neighborhoods that are likely to have better schools, parks, healthcare, jobs, transportation, and other assets that improve outcomes for low-income families. Families are not required to stay in PBV units and may move into any unit of their choosing in the private market using a tenant-based voucher at the end of their initial lease term, freeing up the PBV unit for the next eligible household waiting for assistance.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Housing choice

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Neutral (no cost implications)

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies to all assisted households

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

What percentage of total authorized HCV units will be authorized for project-basing?

50.00%

9.b. - Increase PBV Project Cap (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

Allows more than the greater of twenty-five units or 25% of the units at a complex to receive Project-Based Voucher assistance. Under current regulations, "excepted" units that are for the elderly or those providing supportive services are already exempt from this cap. This activity would allow units that may not be serving the excepted populations to go above the cap up to 100% of the units at a project. This will increase housing choice and contribute to the development of additional affordable housing production available to households eligible for the Section 8 Program. The HACSC will continue to be subject to Notice PIH 2013-27 where applicable, or successor notices.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Housing choice

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Neutral (no cost implications)

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies to all assisted households

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

9.c. - Elimination of PBV Selection Process for PHA-owned Projects Without Improvement, Development, or Replacement (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

The HACSC will eliminate the independent third-party selection process in the award of PBV's to properties owned or controlled by the agency. All other PBV selection and approval requirements, including HQS compliance, NEPA review and subsidy layering review are still applicable and must be conducted. The HACSC will comply with all Safe Harbor requirements including (1) A subsidy layering review must be conducted; (2) The agency must complete site selection requirements; (3) HQS inspections must be performed by an independent entity according to 24 CFR 983.59(b) or 24 CFR 983.103(f); (4) The agency is subject to Notice PIH 2013-27 where applicable, or successor; and (5) Property must be owned by a single-asset entity of the agency, see Notice PIH 2017-21.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Cost effectiveness

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Decreased expenditures
Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?
The MTW activity applies only to a subset or subsets of assisted households
Does the MTW activity apply only to new admissions, only to currently assisted households, or to both new admissions and currently assisted households?
New admissions and currently assisted households
Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types?
The MTW activity applies to all family types
Does the MTW activity apply to all HCV tenant-based units and properties with project-based vouchers?
The MTW activity applies to specific tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers
Please describe which tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers participate in the MTW activity.
Applies only to units owned or controlled by the PHA.
Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.
N/A
Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?
No

9.h. - Limit Portability for PBV Units (HCV)
Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative
HACSC will limit moves from PBV units using a Housing Choice Voucher for 24 months after PBV move-in for applicants coming from site-based waiting lists or from non waiting list referral programs. PBV Residents coming from the HCV waiting list will continue to have portability with a Housing Choice Voucher after 12 months. This change ensures that households electing to move into a PBV unit cannot convert to an HCV after only one year, effectively bypassing the HCV waitlist. Additionally, it decreases the administrative burden for staff after initial intake. HACSC will comply with all safe harbor requirements including (1) Portability under this activity must not be restricted for more than 24 months; (2) The agency must have a clear and uniform policy in place to address how move requests are received and how they are approved/denied for PBV households; and (3) Participants must still retain the ability to request a tenant-based voucher for reasonable accommodation according to existing rules.
Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?
Cost effectiveness
What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.
Decreased expenditures
Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies to all assisted households

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

14.a. - Waive Initial HQS Inspection Requirement (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

HACSC may, at their discretion, waive the initial HQS inspection requirement for PSH residents transitioning into voucher-based assistance who are remaining in their unit. Waiving the initial HQS inspection will decrease costs and increase efficiency, allowing the PSH household to transition to voucher-based assistance seamlessly without the disruption of inspection. Participants retain the right to request inspection at any time.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Cost effectiveness; Housing choice

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Decreased expenditures

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies only to a subset or subsets of assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply only to new admissions, only to currently assisted households, or to both new admissions and currently assisted households?

Currently assisted households only

Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types?

The MTW activity applies only to selected family types

Please select the family types subject to this MTW activity.

Other – another specifically defined target population or populations.

If Other Selected in Previous Question: Please describe this target population in the text box.

Only residents of Permanent Supportive Housing Programs.

Does the MTW activity apply to all HCV tenant-based units and properties with project-based vouchers?

The MTW activity applies to specific tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers

Please describe which tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers participate in the MTW activity.

Tenant-based Permanent Supportive Housing units from which resident will transition into a tenant-based or project-based

voucher unit.

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

14.b.HCV - Allow Income Calculations from Partner Agencies (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

HACSC may, at their discretion, utilize the income calculations and documentation from partner agencies for PSH households transitioning to voucher based assistance, provided that income calculations must be determined in accordance with 24 CFR 5.609 and that any income calculations accepted from partner agencies must have been calculated within the past year. Utilizing the income calculations and documentation from partner agencies will decrease costs and increase efficiency, allowing the PSH household to transition to voucher-based assistance quickly and seamlessly without completing redundant paperwork and procuring verification documents, which can be extremely challenging for vulnerable populations.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Cost effectiveness

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Decreased expenditures

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies only to a subset or subsets of assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply only to new admissions, only to currently assisted households, or to both new admissions and currently assisted households?

Currently assisted households only

Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types?

The MTW activity applies only to selected family types

Please select the family types subject to this MTW activity.

Other – another specifically defined target population or populations.

If Other Selected in Previous Question: Please describe this target population in the text box.

Only residents of Permanent Supportive Housing Programs transitioning to a voucher.

Does the MTW activity apply to all HCV tenant-based units and properties with project-based vouchers?

The MTW activity applies to specific tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers

Please describe which tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers participate in the MTW activity.

Tenant-based Permanent Supportive Housing units from which resident will transition into a tenant-based or project-based voucher unit.

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

14.c.HCV - Aligning Tenant Rents and Utility Payments Between Partner Agencies (HCV)

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

HACSC may, at their discretion, adjust the subsidy for PSH residents transitioning into voucher-based assistance to align the tenant's portion of rent with the PSH program they are transitioning from. If a PSH tenant is transitioning from a program with a different subsidy structure, the transition could result in a significant increase in the tenant's contribution of rent, potentially resulting in displacement from their current unit. Having the flexibility to align the voucher subsidy with the subsidy from the PSH program will avoid increases in tenant rent burden and the potential for displacement.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Housing choice

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Increased expenditures

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies only to a subset or subsets of assisted households

Does the MTW activity apply only to new admissions, only to currently assisted households, or to both new admissions and currently assisted households?

Currently assisted households only

Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types?

The MTW activity applies only to selected family types

Please select the family types subject to this MTW activity.

Other – another specifically defined target population or populations.

If Other Selected in Previous Question: Please describe this target population in the text box.

Only residents of Permanent Supportive Housing Programs transitioning to a voucher.

Does the MTW activity apply to all HCV tenant-based units and properties with project-based vouchers?

The MTW activity applies to specific tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers

Please describe which tenant-based units and/or properties with project-based vouchers participate in the MTW activity.

Tenant-based Permanent Supportive Housing units from which resident will transition into a tenant-based or project-based voucher unit.

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

17.c. - Housing Development Programs

Describe the MTW activity, the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative

HACSC intends to use MTW funding to acquire, renovate and/or build affordable units that are not public housing units. Eligible activities may include gap financing or construction costs for development of affordable housing, conducted by the PHA or a PHA-controlled affiliate or by a non-PHA affordable housing developer, including but not limited to development of project-based voucher units, tax credit units, or affordable units funded with any other local, state, or federal funds. HACSC will not spend more than 10% of its HAP budget on local, non-traditional activities and families receiving housing or services through local, non-traditional activities must meet the definition of low-income. Local, non-traditional development activities will be conducted in accordance with the applicable requirements of PIH Notice 2011-45 and the MTW Operations Notice. The Agency shall comply with Safe Harbor provisions requiring compliance with Section 30 of the 1937 Housing Act and that any MTW Funding awarded to a third-party provider must be competitively awarded.

Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?

Housing choice

What are the cost implications of this MTW activity? Pick the best description of the cost implications based on what you know today.

Increased expenditures

Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?

The MTW activity applies to all assisted households

Based on the Fiscal Year goals listed in the activity's previous Fiscal Year's narrative, provide a description about what has been accomplished or changed during the implementation.

N/A

Does the MTW agency need a Safe Harbor Waiver to implement this MTW activity as described?

No

Table 17.c.1 - Housing Development Programs that the MTW Agency plans to commit Funds to in Fiscal Year

Name of Development and Address	MTW Role: Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction?	Type of MTW Agency Financing: Gap Financing, Tax Credit Partnership, Other	Number of Affordable Units	Total Number of Units	Number of Units by Affordability - 80% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - 50% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - 30% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - Other
Natural Bridges	New Construction	Gap Financing or Construction	20.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00

Housing Development Programs that the MTW Agency plans to spend funds on in the Fiscal Year

Name of Development and Address	MTW Role: Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction?	Type of MTW Agency Financing: Gap Financing, Tax Credit Partnership, Other	Number of Affordable Units	Total Number of Units	Number of Units by Affordability - 80% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - 50% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - 30% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - Other
---------------------------------	--	--	----------------------------	-----------------------	---	---	---	--

Table 17.c.2 - Housing Development Programs that the MTW Agency committed funds to in prior Fiscal Year

Name of Development and Address	MTW Role: Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction?	Type of MTW Agency Financing: Gap Financing, Tax Credit Partnership, Other	Number of Affordable Units	Total Number of Units	Number of Units by Affordability - 80% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - 50% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - 30% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - Other
---------------------------------	--	--	----------------------------	-----------------------	---	---	---	--

Housing Development Programs that the MTW Agency spent funds on in prior Fiscal Year

Name of Development and Address	MTW Role: Acquisition, Rehabilitation, New Construction?	Type of MTW Agency Financing: Gap Financing, Tax Credit Partnership, Other	Number of Affordable Units	Total Number of Units	Number of Units by Affordability - 80% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - 50% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - 30% of AMI	Number of Units by Affordability - Other
---------------------------------	--	--	----------------------------	-----------------------	---	---	---	--

D.	Safe Harbor Waivers.
D.1	<p>Will the MTW agency submit request for approval of a Safe Harbor Waiver this year?</p> <p>Yes, the Safe Harbor Waiver request(s) for 1w is(are) attached.</p>

E.	Agency-Specific Waiver(s).
E.1	<p>Agency-Specific Waiver(s) for HUD Approval:</p> <p>The MTW demonstration program is intended to foster innovation and HUD encourages MTW agencies, in consultation with their residents and stakeholders, to be creative in their approach to solving affordable housing issues facing their local communities. For this reason, flexibilities beyond those provided for in Appendix I may be needed. Agency-Specific Waivers may be requested if an MTW agency wishes to implement additional activities, or waive a statutory and/or regulatory requirement not included in Appendix I.</p> <p>In order to pursue an Agency-Specific Waiver, an MTW agency must include an Agency-Specific Waiver request, an impact analysis, and a hardship policy (as applicable), and respond to all of the mandatory core questions as applicable.</p> <p>For each Agency-Specific Waiver(s) request, please upload supporting documentation, that includes: a) a full description of the activity, including what the agency is proposing to waive (i.e., statute, regulation, and/or Operations Notice), b) how the initiative achieves one or more of the 3 MTW statutory objectives, c) a description of which population groups and household types that will be impacted by this activity, d) any cost implications associated with the activity, e) an implementation timeline for the initiative, f) an impact analysis, g) a description of the hardship policy for the initiative, and h) a copy of all comments received at the public hearing along with the MTW agency's description of how the comments were considered, as a required attachment to the MTW Supplement.</p> <p>Will the MTW agency submit a request for approval of an Agency-Specific Waiver this year?</p> <p>Yes, the Agency-Specific Waiver request is attached.</p>
E.2	<p>Agency-Specific Waiver(s) for which HUD Approval has been Received:</p> <p>Does the MTW agency have any approved Agency-Specific Waivers?</p> <p>MTW Agency does not have approved Agency-Specific Waivers</p>

F.	Public Housing Operating Subsidy Grant Reporting.
F.1	Total Public Housing Operating subsidy amount authorized, disbursed by 9/30, remaining, and deadline for disbursement, by Federal Fiscal Year for each year the PHA is designated an MTW agency.

Federal Fiscal Year (FFY)	Total Operating Subsidy Authorized Amount	How Much PHA Disbursed by the 9/30 Reporting Period	Remaining Not Yet Disbursed	Deadline
---------------------------	---	---	-----------------------------	----------

G.	MTW Statutory Requirements.	
G.1	75% Very Low Income – Local, Non-Traditional. HUD will verify compliance with the statutory requirement that at least 75% of the households assisted by the MTW agency are very low-income for MTW public housing units and MTW HCVs through HUD systems. The MTW PHA must provide data for the actual families housed upon admission during the PHA's most recently completed Fiscal Year for its Local, Non-Traditional program households.	
	Income Level	Number of Local, Non-Traditional Households Admitted in the Fiscal Year*
	80%-50% Area Median Income	0
	49%-30% Area Median Income	0
	Below 30% Area Median Income	0
	Total Local, Non-Traditional Households	0

*Local, non-traditional income data must be provided in the MTW Supplement form until such time that it can be submitted in IMS-PIC or other HUD system.

G.2	Establishing Reasonable Rent Policy.
Has the MTW agency established a rent reform policy to encourage employment and self-sufficiency? Yes	

G.3	Substantially the Same (STS) – Local, Non-Traditional.
The total number of unit months that families were housed in a local, non-traditional rental subsidy for the prior full calendar year.	0 # of unit months
The total number of unit months that families were housed in a local, non-traditional housing development program for the prior full calendar year.	0 # of unit months

Number of units developed under the local, non-traditional housing development activity that were available for occupancy during the prior full calendar year:

PROPERTY NAME/ ADDRESS	0/1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	5 BR	6+ BR	TOTAL UNITS	POPULATION TYPE*	if 'Population Type' is Other	# of Section 504 Accessible (Mobility)**	# of Section 504 Accessible (Hearing/ Vision)	Was this Property Made Available for Initial Occupancy during the Prior Full Calendar Year?	What was the Total Amount of MTW Funds Invested into the Property?
------------------------	--------	------	------	------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-------------------------------	--	---	---	--

G.4	Comparable Mix (by Family Size) – Local, Non-Traditional.
To demonstrate compliance with the statutory requirement to continue serving a 'comparable mix' of families by family size to that which would have been served without MTW, the MTW agency will provide the number of families occupying local, non-traditional units by household size for the most recently completed Fiscal Year in the provided table.	

Family Size:	Occupied Number of Local, Non-Traditional units by Household Size
1 Person	0
2 Person	0
3 Person	0
4 Person	0
5 Person	0
6+ Person	0
Totals	0

H.	Public Comment
	Attached you will find a copy of all of the comments received and a description of how the agency analyzed the comments, as well as any decisions made based on those comments.
	Please see attached for an additional public hearing held for Agency-Specific Waiver(s) and/or Safe Harbor Waiver(s)

I.	Evaluations.
	No known evaluations.

Agency-Specific Waiver – Alternative Reasonable Cost Limits

Waive: Total Development Cost limit in [Notice PIH 2011-45](#)

As a PHA committed to the development of new affordable housing, HACSC is requesting permission to adopt an alternative reasonable cost formula to include Hard Construction Cost (HCC) and Total Development Cost (TDC) for development and construction activities under the local non-traditional activities.

Rental Market

The National Low Income Housing Coalition’s 2023 Out of Reach Report names Santa Cruz-Watsonville as **the most expensive metro area for renters in the entire country.**

According to the report, the most expensive areas for renters in California are:

1. Santa Cruz-Watsonville MSA
2. San Francisco HFMA
3. San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara HMFA

The rental market in the Santa Cruz-Watsonville MSA is the most expensive in the nation in part due to insufficient supply of rental housing. New affordable housing development activities serving low-income households will reduce the rent burden on families in our community.

Homelessness

Homelessness is closely tied to the expensive rental market in the Santa Cruz-Watsonville MSA. As rental costs have continued to rise, families in our community are rapidly losing their housing and homelessness is one of the most impactful issues facing our County. The 2022 HUD AHAR reports that among **Largely Suburban CoC’s**, the Watsonville/Santa Cruz CoC (CA-508) is in the top five CoC’s for CoCs with the largest numbers of homelessness in the following three subpopulations: Unaccompanied Youth, Veterans, and Chronically homeless.

HUD can reduce a significant barrier to housing production by allowing HACSC to fund development based on real market conditions rather than the HUD HCC/TDC formula which is substantially lower than the actual costs for housing production in Santa Cruz County.

Construction costs have increased significantly in recent years and continue to rise rapidly in the form of increased material and labor costs and higher loan rates. Current industry construction cost projections anticipate continued increases due to supply chain and other market factors. Further, Santa Cruz is part of a larger metro-region, and competition for general contractors and trades, which includes Santa Clara and the greater Bay Area, increases construction costs in Santa Cruz.

The feasibility of HACSC's development projects are expected to continually be constrained due to project costs exceeding the TDC limits. Under the HUD established TDC limits HACSC can typically fund one third of the construction costs. Increasing the amount of MTW funds in a project will simplify the project financing and increase the speed at which projects can be completed. There is the additional benefit of MTW funds not being tied to the volatility of the market, which can increase costs in the middle of a project, subjecting an entire project to uncertainty.

As illustrated below, the cost of developing affordable housing in the County of Santa Cruz greatly exceeds the HUD HCC/TDC limits. However, the Operations Notice safe harbor requirements for MTW Activity 17.c. (Local, Non-Traditional Development) include PIH Notice 2011-45, which states, 'Projects must be within the HUD Total Development Cost and Housing Cost Cap Limits imposed on the development of affordable units in a project or within a PHA's alternate cost formula for development and redevelopment activities, if approved by HUD.' In light of substantially higher development costs in our jurisdiction, HACSC requests that HUD approve HACSC's alternate cost formula for LNT development and redevelopment projects.

Allowing HACSC to increase the HCC/TDC limit provides the Housing Authority with the ability to continue on our path towards being a local leader in the development and construction of affordable housing.

The alternative total development cost limit proposed by HACSC is as follows: HACSC analyzed certain PBV-supported projects under AHAP that went through the subsidy layering review process in March 2022 or later. [Appendix I provides the PBV Project Considerations for Alternate Reasonable Cost Limits.](#)

For projects supported with Low-Income Housing Tax Credits, the SLR was performed by the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee, the state Housing Credit Agency. In lieu of Form HUD-50156, SLR submitters provided their original Tax Credit application. For this calculation, the TDC was calculated by using the eligible basis, plus the land cost/land value and any applicable demolition costs. The HCC figures were calculated from all the hard construction costs, less demolition. For non-Tax Credit projects, the TDC and HCC were based on information in the form HUD-50156. The TDC was calculated as the Total Uses in the Construction Budget, less miscellaneous expenses that would not normally be included in a Tax Credit eligible basis, such as marketing, title and recording, and organizational costs. The HCC was calculated as the Development Construction Costs in the Construction Budget, less demolition and relocation costs.

The TDC and HCC for each project were then divided by the gross building square footage to determine that project's cost per square foot. From these quotients, the 75th percentile was calculated and an inflation factor of 15% was applied.

The 75th percentile of all the costs per square foot was used to account for differences in SLR submission projections and for the differences in economies of scale. For SLR submissions that use the HUD-50156, the projected costs are relatively recent, while projections from the Tax Credit applications were typically prepared a substantial amount of time prior to the SLR. HACSC based its TDC and HCC figures primarily on developments leveraging Tax Credits, therefore the projected costs per square foot were considerably lower than more timely projections found in the HUD-50156. Finally, a 15% inflation factor was added to adjust for the quickly changing market, including both rising interest rates and the general cost of goods and services.

To determine the proposed reasonable cost limits, HACSC multiplied the inflation-adjusted 75th percentile cost per square foot by the unit square footage indicated in the HUD HCC/TDC limit chart. The inflation-adjusted 75th percentile cost per square foot can be seen below:

HACSC Inflation-Adjusted 75 th Percentile Cost per Square Foot	
HCC	TDC
\$773.07	\$1,025.20

HACSC did not differentiate between elevator and walkup buildings in its calculations and proposes a single HCC and TDC for both building types. The difference in the 2022 HUD HCC/TDC limits for these building types are marginal and neither type has a greater TDC for all unit sizes. In some instances, the difference in TDC is less than \$1,000. **A table of the proposed Total Development Cost and Housing Construction Cost is below:**

HACSC Reasonable Cost Limits for MTW Funds (Proposed for Both Walkup & Elevator)									
0 Bedroom (500 sq. ft.)		1 Bedroom (700 sq. ft.)		2 Bedroom (900 sq. ft.)		3 Bedroom (1200 sq. ft.)		4 Bedroom (1500 sq. ft.)	
HCC (\$)	TDC (\$)	HCC (\$)	TDC (\$)	HCC (\$)	TDC (\$)	HCC (\$)	TDC (\$)	HCC (\$)	TDC (\$)
386,533	512,602	541,147	717,643	695,760	922,683	927,680	1,230,244	1,159,600	1,537,806

HUD 2022 HCC/TDC Limits for Santa Cruz (Walkup Building)									
0 Bedroom (500 sq. ft.)		1 Bedroom (700 sq. ft.)		2 Bedroom (900 sq. ft.)		3 Bedroom (1200 sq. ft.)		4 Bedroom (1500 sq. ft.)	
HCC (\$)	TDC (\$)	HCC (\$)	TDC (\$)	HCC (\$)	TDC (\$)	HCC (\$)	TDC (\$)	HCC (\$)	TDC (\$)
102,964	180,188	140,812	246,422	178,612	312,570	235,731	412,530	292,397	511,696

This MTW activity serves the following statutory objectives:

Housing Choice

This activity corresponds with the HUD goal of increasing housing choice by increasing funds available for construction, thereby increasing housing production.

A MTW activity may apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households by having a different policy by household status/family types/sites. This MTW activity applies:

All assisted households.

This MTW activity has the following cost implications:

Increase revenue

The implementation timeline for the initiative is:

Upon approval of Amended MTW Supplement.

This MTW activity does require an impact analysis.

Hardship Policy: No households will be adversely affected by this MTW activity. The proposed activity will benefit the community by increasing housing production and housing choice.

Comments received at the public hearing for the Agency-Specific waiver and the agency's description of how the comments were considered.

To be completed after public hearing.

**Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz
Impact Analysis**

Agency-Specific Waiver - Alternative Reasonable Cost Limits
Waiver Description: Waive: Total Development Cost limit in Notice PIH 2011-45
Describe the MTW activity (including what specifically the agency is proposing to waive), the MTW agency's goal(s) for the MTW activity, and, if applicable, how the MTW activity contributes to a larger initiative.
Implement an alternative reasonable cost formula to include Hard Construction Cost (HCD) and Total Development Cost (TDC) for development and construction activities under the local non-traditional activities. Due to substantially higher development costs in our jurisdiction, HACSC has calculated an alternate cost formula for LNT development and redevelopment projects. Increasing the amount of MTW funds in a project will simplify the project financing and increase the speed at which projects can be completed.
Which of the MTW statutory objectives does this MTW activity serve?
<input type="checkbox"/> Cost effectiveness. <input type="checkbox"/> Self-sufficiency. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Choice
Does the MTW activity under this waiver apply to all assisted households or only to a subset or subsets of assisted households?
The waiver applies to all assisted households.
Does the MTW activity apply to all family types or only to selected family types?
This waiver applies to all family types
Please select the family types subject to this MTW activity.
All family types.
Impact on the agency's finances (e.g., how much will the activity cost, and any change in the agency's per family contributions).
HACSC anticipates that this proposed waiver will increase revenue.
Impact on affordability of housing costs for affected families (e.g. any change in how much affected families will pay towards their housing costs.)
HACSC anticipates that this proposed waiver will not affect how much affected families will pay towards housing costs.
Impact on the agency's waitlist(s) (e.g., any change in the amount of time families are on the waitlist);
HACSC anticipates that this proposed waiver will reduce the amount of time families wait on the waiting list through additional affordable housing development, making more housing available sooner.
Impact on the agency's termination rate of families (e.g., any change in the rate at which families non-voluntarily lose assistance from the agency);

**Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz
Impact Analysis**

HACSC anticipates that this proposed waiver will not impact the agency's termination rate of families.

Impact on the agency's current occupancy level in public housing and utilization rate in the HCV program

HACSC anticipates that this proposed waiver will not impact the agency's current utilization rate in the HCV program.

Impact on meeting the MTW statutory goals of cost effectiveness, self-sufficiency, and/or housing choice

This proposed waiver will support the MTW statutory goal of housing choice by providing additional affordable housing units through increased development activity.

Impact on the agency's ability to meet the MTW statutory requirements;

HACSC does not anticipate that this waiver would result in any change in the Agency's ability to meet the MTW statutory requirements.

Impact on the rate of hardship requests and the number granted and denied as a result of this activity.

Given that this waiver would benefit assisted households, the HACSC does not anticipate any hardship requests resulting from this waiver.

Across the other factors above, the impact on protected classes (and any associated disparate impact).

HACSC does not anticipate that this waiver would result in any disparate impact to any protected class.

Implementation timeline.

HACSC will implement this initiative upon approval of the Amended MtW Supplement.

Description of a hardship policy, if needed. The MTW agency must adopt a written policy for determining when a requirement or provision of an MTW activity constitutes a financial or other hardship for the family. The agency must include this policy as an attachment to its MTW Supplement to the Annual PHA Plan. The agency may use a single hardship policy, as applicable, for multiple MTW waivers or develop different hardship policies for different MTW waivers as it finds appropriate.

Not applicable. Given that this waiver would benefit the impacted families, the HACSC does not anticipate any hardship requests resulting from this waiver.

Appendix I

PBV Project Considerations for Alternate Reasonable Cost Limits

Project Name	Type	Gross Building Area Sq. Ft.	Calculated TDC	Calculated HCC	TDC per sq. ft.	HCC per sq. ft.
Harvey West Studios	Elevator	56,150	\$ 60,631,654	\$ 46,013,855	\$ 1,079.82	\$ 819.48
Park Haven Plaza	Elevator	26,272	\$ 18,473,083	\$ 13,792,363	\$ 703.15	\$ 524.98
Cienega Heights	Elevator	107,381	\$ 54,259,786	\$ 38,692,568	\$ 505.30	\$ 360.33
Pacific Station South	Elevator	96,372	\$ 46,012,159	\$ 35,926,137	\$ 477.44	\$ 372.79
Bienestar Plaza	Walkup	58,794	\$ 39,936,216	\$ 30,096,480	\$ 679.25	\$ 511.90
Tabasa Gardens	Elevator	59,387	\$ 32,998,011	\$ 23,305,627	\$ 555.64	\$ 392.44
Jessie Street	Elevator	32,604	\$ 38,710,340	\$ 28,078,456	\$ 1,187.29	\$ 861.20